

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>Joe Reedy

<ADDRESS1>2536 Essex Drive

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>Kingsport

<STATE>TN

<ZIP>37660

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>jpreedy@chartertn.net

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable since I now have both normal and wireless service. I only use wireless in my car for emergency use.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my wireless phone strickly for safety and security. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Joe Reedy

2536 Essex Drive

Kingsport, Tennessee 37660-4715